



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

HOFFMAN WARNICK LLC  
75 STATE STREET  
14TH FLOOR  
ALBANY, NY 12207

MAILED

OCT 08 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Alexander Virvo  
Application No. 10/749,070  
Filed: December 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. VLAS-0006

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed July 23, 2009, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).


The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed October 3, 2008, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on January 4, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 15, 2009. On July 23, 2009, the present petition was filed.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay<sup>1</sup>.

The application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3611 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment received July 23, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

  
Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

<sup>1</sup> 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While it is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and *Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure*; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.